

ON THE FRACTIONAL PARTS OF ROOTS OF POSITIVE REAL NUMBERS

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. Let $[\theta]$ denote the integer part and $\{\theta\}$ the fractional part of the real number θ . For $\theta > 1$ and $\{\theta^{1/n}\} \neq 0$, define $M_\theta(n) = [1/\{\theta^{1/n}\}]$. The arithmetic function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually increasing, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n)/n = 1/\log \theta$. Moreover, $M_\theta(n)$ is “linearly periodic” if and only if $\log \theta$ is rational. Other results and problems concerning the function $M_\theta(n)$ are discussed.

1. THE SEQUENCE OF ROOTS AND THE ARITHMETIC FUNCTION $M_\theta(n)$

Let $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{N}_0$, and $\mathbf{Z}$ denote the positive integers, nonnegative integers, and integers, respectively. An *arithmetic function* is a function whose domain is the set $\mathbf{N}$ of positive integers. Let θ be a real number, and let $[\theta]$ denote the integer part of θ and $\{\theta\}$ the fractional part of θ . Thus, $\theta = [\theta] + \{\theta\}$, where $[\theta] \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $0 \leq \{\theta\} < 1$. Let $\|\theta\| = \min(\{\theta\}, 1 - \{\theta\})$ denote the distance from θ to the nearest integer.

A famous theorem of Koksma [6] (see Kuipers and Niederreiter [7, Corollary 4.2]) states that the sequence of the fractional parts of the n th powers of θ , that is, $(\{\theta^n\})_{n=1}^\infty$, is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$ for almost all real numbers $\theta > 1$. Nonetheless, there is no known number θ whose powers are uniformly distributed modulo 1. It is a famous unsolved problem to understand the distribution of the fractional parts of the powers of a rational number, and, in particular, of $3/2$ (cf. [1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11]).

There is a large body of research on the fractional parts of powers, but there seems to have been no investigation of the dual problem of the distribution of the fractional parts of the n th roots of a positive real number $\theta \neq 1$. Mahler and Szekeres [8] and Bugeaud and Dubickas [2] have considered the distribution modulo 1 of the sequence $(\|\theta^n\|^{1/n})_{n=1}^\infty$, but this is different from the sequences that will be considered in this paper.

Let θ be a positive real number. For every positive integer n such that $\{\theta^{1/n}\} \neq 0$, we define the arithmetic function

$$M_\theta(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\{\theta^{1/n}\}} \right\rceil.$$

Let $M_\theta(n) = \infty$ if $\{\theta^{1/n}\} = 0$. Note that $M_\theta(n) = \infty$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbf{N}$ if and only if $\theta = 1$. We observe that if $0 < \theta < 1$ and $n > -\log \theta / \log 2$, then $1/2 < \theta^{1/n} = \{\theta^{1/n}\} < 1$. It follows that $1 < \{\theta^{1/n}\}^{-1} < 2$ and $M_\theta(n) = \left\lceil \{\theta^{1/n}\}^{-1} \right\rceil = 1$. Thus, the function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually constant for $0 < \theta < 1$, and so it suffices to consider only $\theta > 1$.

Date: December 15, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11J71, 11J83, 11A25.

Key words and phrases. Fractional parts of roots, uniform distribution, linear periodicity.

For $\theta > 1$, let $N_0(\theta)$ denote the smallest integer n such that $n > \log \theta / \log 2$. If $n \geq N_0(\theta)$, then $1 < \theta^{1/n} < 2$ and so

$$0 < \{\theta^{1/n}\} = \theta^{1/n} - 1 < 1$$

and

$$M_\theta(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} \right\rceil.$$

We can use Maple to compute the function $M_\theta(n)$ for various θ and for n from 1 to 90. Here is the data for $\theta = 3/2$, 2, 17, and π and $1 \leq n \leq 90$. We put a box around $M_\theta(N_0(\theta))$. We obtain the following eventually increasing sequences of integers.

$$\theta = \frac{3}{2}$$

$\boxed{2}$	4	6	9	11	14	16	19	21	24	26	29	31	34	36
38	41	43	46	48	51	53	56	58	61	63	66	68	71	73
75	78	80	83	85	88	90	93	95	98	100	103	105	108	110
112	115	117	120	122	125	127	130	132	135	137	140	142	145	147
149	152	154	157	159	162	164	167	169	172	174	177	179	182	184
186	189	191	194	196	199	201	204	206	209	211	214	216	219	221

$$\theta = 2$$

∞	$\boxed{2}$	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	13	15	16	18	19	21
22	24	25	26	28	29	31	32	34	35	37	38	39	41	42
44	45	47	48	49	51	52	54	55	57	58	60	61	62	64
65	67	68	70	71	73	74	75	77	78	80	81	83	84	86
87	88	90	91	93	94	96	97	99	100	101	103	104	106	107
109	110	112	113	114	116	117	119	120	122	123	125	126	127	129

$$\theta = 17$$

∞	8	1	32	$\boxed{1}$	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
5	5	5	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	9	9	9	10
10	10	11	11	11	12	12	12	13	13	13	14	14	15	15
15	16	16	16	17	17	17	18	18	18	19	19	19	20	20
21	21	21	22	22	22	23	23	23	24	24	24	25	25	25
26	26	27	27	27	28	28	28	29	29	29	30	30	30	31

$$\theta = \pi$$

7	$\boxed{1}$	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	37	38
39	40	41	42	43	44	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	51
52	53	54	55	56	57	58	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65
65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	72	73	74	75	76	77	78

It is an open problem to understand and “predict” these sequences of integers. The goal of this paper is to obtain basic results about the function $M_\theta(n)$ and to ask some questions suggested by the experimental data.

2. GROWTH, ASYMPTOTICS, AND UNIQUENESS OF THE FUNCTION $M_\theta(n)$

Let $\theta > 1$ and $\psi > 1$. In this section we prove that $M_\theta(n)$ is an eventually increasing function of n and an eventually decreasing function of θ , that $M_\theta(n) \sim n/\log \theta$, and that $M_\theta(n) = M_\psi(n)$ for infinitely many n if and only if $\theta = \psi$. We shall also prove that $M_\theta(n)$ is strictly increasing if and only if $\theta \leq e$.

Theorem 1. *Let θ and ψ be real numbers such that $1 < \psi < \theta$. If $n > \log \theta / \log 2$, then*

$$M_\theta(n) \leq M_\psi(n)$$

and

$$M_\theta(n) \leq M_\theta(n+1).$$

Moreover,

$$M_\theta(n) > \frac{n}{\theta - 1} - 1$$

and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n) = \infty$.

Proof. The inequality $n > \log \theta / \log 2 > \log \psi / \log 2$ implies that $\psi^{1/n} < \theta^{1/n}$ and

$$M_\theta(n) \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < \frac{1}{\psi^{1/n} - 1} < M_\psi(n) + 1$$

and so $M_\theta(n) \leq M_\psi(n)$. Similarly,

$$1 < \theta^{1/(n+1)} < \theta^{1/n} \leq \theta$$

and so

$$M_\theta(n) \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < \frac{1}{\theta^{1/(n+1)} - 1} < M_\theta(n+1) + 1$$

and $M_\theta(n) \leq M_\theta(n+1)$.

Let $\varepsilon_n = \theta^{1/n} - 1 > 0$. Applying the binomial theorem, we obtain $\theta = (1 + \varepsilon_n)^n > 1 + n\varepsilon_n$. Equivalently,

$$0 < \varepsilon_n < \frac{\theta - 1}{n}.$$

It follows that

$$M_\theta(n) > \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} - 1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} - 1 > \frac{n}{\theta - 1} - 1$$

and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n) = \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2. *If $\theta > 1$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\theta(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\log \theta}.$$

Proof. For all real numbers x we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n = e^x.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For $x > 0$, the inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)x}{n}\right)^n = e^{(1 - \varepsilon)x} < e^x < e^{(1 + \varepsilon)x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)x}{n}\right)^n$$

implies that there exists an integer $N(\varepsilon) > \log \theta / \log 2$ such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)x}{n}\right)^n < e^x < \left(1 + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)x}{n}\right)^n$$

for all $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$. Taking n th roots, subtracting 1, and reciprocating, we obtain

$$\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon)x} < \frac{1}{e^{x/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{(1-\varepsilon)x}.$$

If $\theta > 1$, then $x = \log \theta > 0$, and so

$$\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon) \log \theta} < \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{(1-\varepsilon) \log \theta}.$$

Then

$$\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon) \log \theta} - 1 < M_\theta(n) < \frac{n}{(1-\varepsilon) \log \theta}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon) \log \theta} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{M_\theta(n)}{n} < \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon) \log \theta}$$

for all integers $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon) \log \theta} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\theta(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\theta(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon) \log \theta}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n)/n = 1/\log \theta$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let $1 < \psi \leq \theta$ be real numbers. Let $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and let $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of integers such that $n_i + \varepsilon_i \geq 1$ for all i and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i/n_i = 0$. If $M_\psi(n_i + \varepsilon_i) = M_\theta(n_i)$ for all i , then $\psi = \theta$. In particular, if $M_\psi(n) = M_\theta(n)$ for infinitely many positive integers n , then $\psi = \theta$.*

Proof. If $M_\psi(n_i + \varepsilon_i) = M_\theta(n_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log \psi} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\psi(n_i + \varepsilon_i)}{n_i + \varepsilon_i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\theta(n_i)}{n_i + \varepsilon_i} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_\theta(n_i)}{n_i} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_i}{n_i + \varepsilon_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log \theta} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\psi = \theta$. In particular, if $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for all i , then $M_\psi(n) = M_\theta(n)$ for infinitely many positive integers n only if $\psi = \theta$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The asymptotic estimate for $M_\theta(n)$ given in Theorem 2 can be sharpened for $1 < \theta \leq e$.

Theorem 4. *Let θ be a real number such that $1 < \theta \leq e$. For every integer $n > \log \theta / \log 2$,*

$$(1) \quad \frac{n-1}{\log \theta} < \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{\log \theta}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{\log \theta} \right\rceil \leq M_\theta(n) < \frac{n}{\log \theta}$$

Proof. If $1 < \theta \leq e$ and $x = \log \theta$, then $0 < x \leq 1$. By Lemma 2 in Appendix A, for every integer $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n < e^x < \left(1 + \frac{x}{n-1}\right)^n$$

and so

$$\frac{n-1}{x} < \frac{1}{e^{x/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{x}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{n-1}{\log \theta} < \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{\log \theta}.$$

This proves (1), and inequality (1) implies (2). $\square$

Corollary 1. $M_e(1) = 1$ and

$$M_e(n) = n - 1$$

for every integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We have $1/2 < \{e\} = e - 2 < 1$ and so $1 < (e - 2)^{-1} < 2$ and $M_e(1) = 1$. For $n \geq 2$ we have $1 < e^{1/n} \leq e^{1/2} < 2$. Applying Theorem 4 with $\theta = e$, $\log \theta = 1$, and $n \geq 2$, we obtain

$$n - 1 \leq M_e(n) < n$$

and so $M_e(n) = n - 1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 5. For $x \geq 1$, define the function

$$(3) \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}.$$

Let $\theta > 1$ and $n > \log \theta / \log 2$. Then $M_\theta(n) = x$ if and only if

$$(4) \quad g(x) \log \theta \leq n < g(x+1) \log \theta.$$

If $M_\theta(n) = x$, then

$$(5) \quad \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x}\right) \log \theta \leq n < \left(x + \frac{3}{2}\right) \log \theta.$$

Proof. Let $n > \log \theta / \log 2$. We have $M_\theta(n) = x$ if and only if

$$x \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} < x + 1.$$

Solving this equation for n , we obtain (4).

By Lemma 3 in Appendix A, for $x \geq 1$ the function $g(x)$ is positive and strictly increasing, and satisfies inequality (15). Inserting the estimates from (15) into (4) gives (5). $\square$

Corollary 2. Let $\theta > 1$. If n_1 and n_2 are integers such that $n_2 > n_1 > \log \theta / \log 2$, then

$$(6) \quad M_\theta(n_2) - M_\theta(n_1) < \frac{n_2 - n_1}{\log \theta} + \frac{3}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $n_2 > n_1 > \log \theta / \log 2$. Theorem 1 implies that $x_1 = M_\theta(n_1) \leq M_\theta(n_2) = x_2$. If $x_1 < x_2$, then

$$n_1 < g(x_1 + 1) \log \theta \leq g(x_2) \log \theta \leq n_2.$$

Applying inequality (16), we obtain

$$\frac{n_2 - n_1}{\log \theta} > g(x_2) - g(x_1 + 1) > x_2 - x_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{x_2} \geq x_2 - x_1 - \frac{3}{2}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Inequality (6) implies that the function $M_\theta(n)$ has bounded gaps. For example, if $\theta = 2$, then, for $n \geq 2$, the function $M_2(n)$ is strictly increasing and

$$1 \leq M_2(n+1) - M_2(n) < \frac{1}{\log 2} + \frac{3}{2} < 3.$$

Thus, $M_2(n+1) - M_2(n) \leq 2$ and so $(M_2(n+1) - M_2(n) - 1)_{n=2}^\infty$ is a binary sequence, that is, a sequence of 0s and 1s.

Corollary 3. *Let $\theta > 1$. If $n > \log \theta / \log 2$, then*

$$M_\theta(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\log \theta} \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Let

$$L = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\log \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

and

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n}{\log \theta} - \frac{1}{2} - L \in [0, 1).$$

Rearranging inequality (5), we obtain

$$L - 1 \leq L - 1 + \lambda_n < x \leq L + \lambda_n + \frac{1}{x} < L + 2.$$

Because x is an integer, we have $x = L$ or $x = L + 1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

An arithmetic function $f(n)$ is *eventually strictly increasing* if there exists an integer n_0 such that $f(n) < f(n+1)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\theta > 1$. The arithmetic function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually strictly increasing if and only if $\theta \leq e$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1, we have $M_e(n) = n - 1$ for $n \geq 2$, and so $M_e(n)$ is eventually strictly increasing.

Let $1 < \theta < e$. Then $0 < \log \theta < 1$. By Theorem 5, for every integer $n > \log \theta / \log 2$, we have $M_\theta(n) = x$ if and only if

$$g(x) \log \theta \leq n < g(x+1) \log \theta.$$

The length of this interval is $(g(x+1) - g(x)) \log \theta$. Applying (16) with $y = x+1$, we obtain

$$1 - \frac{1}{x+1} < g(x+1) - g(x) < 1 + \frac{1}{x}.$$

Because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n) = \infty$, we have

$$x = M_\theta(n) > \frac{\log \theta}{1 - \log \theta} > 0$$

for all sufficiently large n , and so

$$0 < (g(x+1) - g(x)) \log \theta < \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) \log \theta < 1.$$

This implies that the interval $[g(x) \log \theta, g(x+1) \log \theta)$ contains at most one integer, that is, there is at most one integer n such that $M_\theta(n) = x$. This means that the function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually strictly increasing.

Let $\theta > e$. Then $\log \theta > 1$. If x and y are positive integers such that

$$y \geq x + \frac{\log \theta + 1}{\log \theta - 1}$$

then the lower bound in (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (g(y) - g(x)) \log \theta &> \left(y - x - \frac{1}{y}\right) \log \theta \\ &> (y - x - 1) \log \theta \\ &\geq y - x + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g(x) \log \theta \leq n < g(y) \log \theta$, then $x \leq M_\theta(n) \leq y - 1$. The interval $[x, y - 1]$ contains exactly $y - x$ integers. Consider the interval $I = [g(x) \log \theta, g(y) \log \theta)$. Because the length of I is greater than $y - x + 1$, it follows that I contains at least $y - x + 1$ integers n , that is, there are at least $y - x + 1$ integers n such that $x \leq M_\theta(n) \leq y - 1$. By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the intervals $[g(x+i-1) \log \theta, g(x+i) \log \theta)$ with $i = 1, \dots, y - x$ contains two integers, and so there exist integers n and $n+1$ such that $M_\theta(n) = M_\theta(n+1) = i$. It follows that if $\theta > e$, then the function $M_\theta(n)$ is not eventually strictly increasing. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. EXPLICIT VALUES AND LINEAR PERIODICITY

In Corollary 1 we proved that $M_e(n) = n - 1$ for all $n \geq 2$. This allows us to compute other explicit values of the function $M_\theta(n)$. For example, if $\ell \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, then

$$M_{e^{1/\ell}}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{e^{1/\ell n} - 1} \right\rceil = M_e(\ell n) = \ell n - 1.$$

Let k and ℓ be relatively prime positive integers, and let $\theta = e^{k/\ell}$. Let $q \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfy $q > 1/(\ell \log 2)$. If $n = kq$, then $n > \log \theta / \log 2$ and

$$M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{e^{k/\ell n} - 1} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{1}{e^{1/\ell q} - 1} \right\rceil = M_e(\ell q) = \ell q - 1.$$

Because $n + k = k(q + 1)$, we have

$$M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n + k) = M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n) + \ell.$$

If $n = kq + r$, where $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$, then

$$\theta^{1/n} = e^{k/\ell n} = e^{(kq/n)/\ell q} = e^{(1-r/n)/\ell q}$$

and so

$$M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{e^{k/\ell n} - 1} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{1}{e^{(1-r/n)/\ell q} - 1} \right\rceil = M_{e^{(1-r/n)}}(\ell q).$$

These results suggest examining the function $M_\theta(n)$ for numbers θ such that $\log \theta$ is rational. We compute $M_\theta(n)$ for $\log \theta = 2/3, 4/5, 2/7$, and $3/7$ and $1 \leq n \leq 90$. We put a box around $M_\theta(N_0(\theta))$.

$$\theta = e^{2/3}$$

1	2	4	5	7	8	10	11	13	14	16	17	19	20	22
23	25	26	28	29	31	32	34	35	37	38	40	41	43	44
46	47	49	50	52	53	55	56	58	59	61	62	64	65	67
68	70	71	73	74	76	77	79	80	82	83	85	86	88	89
91	92	94	95	97	98	100	101	103	104	106	107	109	110	112
113	115	116	118	119	121	122	124	125	127	128	130	131	133	134

$$\theta = e^{2/7}$$

3	6	10	13	17	20	24	27	31	34	38	41	45	48	52
55	59	62	66	69	73	76	80	83	87	90	94	97	101	104
108	111	115	118	122	125	129	132	136	139	143	146	150	153	157
160	164	167	171	174	178	181	185	188	192	195	199	202	206	209
213	216	220	223	227	230	234	237	241	244	248	251	255	258	262
265	269	272	276	279	283	286	290	293	297	300	304	307	311	314

$$\theta = e^{4/5}$$

4	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	17	18
19	20	22	23	24	25	27	28	29	30	32	33	34	35	37
38	39	40	42	43	44	45	47	48	49	50	52	53	54	55
57	58	59	60	62	63	64	65	67	68	69	70	72	73	74
75	77	78	79	80	82	83	84	85	87	88	89	90	92	93
94	95	97	98	99	100	102	103	104	105	107	108	109	110	112

$$\theta = e^{3/7}$$

1	4	6	8	11	13	15	18	20	22	25	27	29	32	34
36	39	41	43	46	48	50	53	55	57	60	62	64	67	69
71	74	76	78	81	83	85	88	90	92	95	97	99	102	104
106	109	111	113	116	118	120	123	125	127	130	132	134	137	139
141	144	146	148	151	153	155	158	160	162	165	167	169	172	174
176	179	181	183	186	188	190	193	195	197	200	202	204	207	209

$$\theta = e^{5/7}$$

23	2	3	5	6	7	9	10	12	13	14	16	17	19	20
21	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	34	35	37	38	40	41
42	44	45	47	48	49	51	52	54	55	56	58	59	61	62
63	65	66	68	69	70	72	73	75	76	77	79	80	82	83
84	86	87	89	90	91	93	94	96	97	98	100	101	103	104
105	107	108	110	111	112	114	115	117	118	119	121	122	124	125

We shall call an arithmetic function f *eventually linearly periodic* if there are positive integers k , ℓ , and n_0 such that

$$f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. We define the *difference function* $\Delta(f)$ of an arithmetic function f as follows: $\Delta(f)(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$. The difference function is *eventually periodic* if there are positive integers k and n_1 such that $\Delta(f)(n+k) = \Delta(f)(n)$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

For example, consider the function f whose sequence of values is $1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots$, that is,

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 3q-2 & \text{if } n = 2q-1 \\ 3q-1 & \text{if } n = 2q. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$f(n+2) = f(n) + 3$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and so f is eventually linearly periodic. We can also write

$$f(n) = \frac{3}{2}n + \chi(n \pmod{2})$$

where

$$\chi(n \pmod{2}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

The sequence of values of the difference function $\Delta(f)$ is $1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots$, and so $\Delta(f)(n+2) = \Delta(f)(n)$ for $n \geq 1$, that is, $\Delta(f)$ is eventually periodic. Note that $f(n) = M_{e^{2/3}}(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 90$.

Lemma 1. *Let f be an arithmetic function. Let k , ℓ , and n_0 be positive integers. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is eventually linearly periodic, and $f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*
- (2) *There is a function χ defined on $\mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$ such that*

$$f(n) = \frac{k}{\ell}n + \chi(n \pmod{k})$$

for all $n \geq n_0$

- (3) *The difference function $\Delta(f)$ defined by $\Delta(f)(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$ is eventually periodic, and $\Delta(f)(n+k) = \Delta(f)(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. If f is eventually linearly periodic, then there are positive integers k , ℓ , and n_0 such that $n_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ and $f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$ for all $n \geq n_0$. It follows that $f(n+qk) = f(n) + q\ell$ for all $q \geq 0$ and $n \geq n_0$. For $r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, we define $a_r = f(n_0 + r)$ and

$$\chi(r \pmod{k}) = a_r - \frac{\ell}{k}(n_0 + r).$$

If $n \geq n_0$, then there exist unique integers $q \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $n = n_0 + qk + r$. It follows that $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(n_0 + qk + r) = f(n_0 + r) + q\ell \\ &= a_r + \left(\frac{n - n_0 - r}{k} \right) \ell \\ &= \frac{\ell}{k}n + \chi(n \pmod{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n+k) &= \frac{\ell}{k}(n+k) + \chi(n+k \pmod{k}) \\ &= \frac{\ell}{k}n + \chi(n \pmod{k}) + \ell \\ &= f(n) + \ell \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq n_0$, and so (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Similarly, if f is eventually linearly periodic and, for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$$

then

$$f(n+1+k) = f(n+1) + \ell$$

and so

$$\Delta(f)(n+k) = f(n+1+k) - f(n+k) = f(n+1) - f(n) = \Delta(f)(n)$$

and so $\Delta(f)$ is eventually periodic.

Conversely, suppose that $\Delta(f)(n+k) = \Delta(f)(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let $\ell = f(n_0+k) - f(n_0)$. If $n \geq n_0$ and $f(n+k) - f(n) = \ell$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(n+1+k) - f(n+1) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (f(n+1+i) - f(n+i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta(f)(n+i) + \Delta(f)(n+k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta(f)(n+i) + \Delta(f)(n) \\ &= f(n+k) - f(n) \\ &= \ell. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by induction that $f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$ for all $n \geq n_0$. This proves that (1) and (3) are equivalent. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let f be an arithmetic function. If f is eventually linearly periodic, and if k, ℓ , and n_0 are positive integers such that $f(n+k) = f(n) + \ell$ for all $n \geq n_0$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \frac{\ell}{k}.$$

Proof. The function χ is bounded, and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{\ell} + \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \right) = \frac{k}{\ell}.$$

□

Let $\theta > 1$. The computational data suggest that $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually linearly periodic if there exist positive integers k and ℓ such that $\theta = e^{k/\ell}$. The data for $\theta = e^{2/3}$ and $\theta = e^{2/7}$ lead to the following explicit formula for $M_\theta(n)$ for numbers of the form $\theta = e^{2/\ell}$.

Theorem 7. *Let ℓ be an odd integer, $\ell \geq 3$, and let $\theta = e^{2/\ell}$. There exists an integer n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, then*

$$M_\theta(n) = \frac{\ell}{2}n + \chi(n \pmod{2})$$

where

$$\chi(n \pmod{2}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Choose $n_0 > 2/(\ell \log 2)$ such that $M_\theta(n) = x \geq 3$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Applying inequality (5) with $\log \theta = 2/\ell$, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \leq n < \frac{2}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

If $n = 2q \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then

$$x < x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \leq \ell q < x + \frac{3}{2}$$

and so $\ell q = x + 1$, that is,

$$M_\theta(n) = \ell q - 1 = \frac{\ell}{2}n - 1.$$

If $n = 2q - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then

$$x + \frac{\ell + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \leq \ell q < x + \frac{\ell + 3}{2}.$$

Because ℓ is odd, it follows that $\ell q = x + (\ell + 1)/2$, and so

$$M_\theta(n) = \ell q - \frac{\ell + 1}{2} = \frac{\ell}{2}n - \frac{1}{2}.$$

This completes the proof. □

The first fundamental result of this paper is the following necessary and sufficient condition for the eventual linear periodicity of $M_\theta(n)$.

Theorem 8. *Let $\theta > 1$. The arithmetic function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually linearly periodic if and only if there exist positive integers k and ℓ such that $\theta = e^{k/\ell}$.*

Proof. Let $k, \ell \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\theta = e^{k/\ell}$. By Theorem 1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_\theta(n) = \infty$, and so there exists an integer $n_0 > k/(\ell \log 2)$ such that $M_\theta(n) > 2k$ for all integers $n \geq n_0$. Let $n \geq n_0$ and $M_\theta(n) = x$. Then $x > 2k$. Applying inequality (5) to $\theta = e^{k/\ell}$ and $\log \theta = k/\ell$, we obtain

$$(7) \quad \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \leq n < \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

The inequality on the left of (7) implies that

$$-1 < -\frac{2k}{x} \leq 2\ell n - 2kx - k.$$

Because $2\ell n - 2kx - k$ is an integer, it follows that

$$0 \leq 2\ell n - 2kx - k$$

and so

$$\frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq n.$$

Adding k to each side of this inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{k}{\ell} g(x + \ell) < \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq n + k.$$

Similarly, the inequality on the right of (7) is equivalent to

$$2\ell n - 2kx < 3k.$$

Because $2\ell n - 2kx$ and $3k$ are integers, we have

$$2\ell n - 2kx \leq 3k - 1.$$

It follows that

$$n \leq \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2k} \right)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} n + k &\leq \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \ell + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \\ &< \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \ell + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{x + \ell + 1} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{\ell} g(x + \ell + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$\frac{k}{\ell} g(x + \ell) < n + k < \frac{k}{\ell} g(x + \ell + 1).$$

implies that $M_\theta(n + k) = x + \ell = M_\theta(n) + \ell$. Thus, the function $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually linearly periodic.

Conversely, if $\theta > 1$ and $M_\theta(n)$ is eventually linearly periodic, then there exist positive integers k , ℓ and n_0 such that $M_\theta(n + k) = M_\theta(n) + \ell$ for all $n \geq n_0$. It follows that

$$M_\theta(n + qk) = M_\theta(n) + q\ell$$

for every integer $n \geq n_0$ and every positive integer q . Applying inequality (5) to $M_\theta(n) = x$ and $M_\theta(n + qk) = x + q\ell$, we obtain

$$\log \theta \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \leq n < \log \theta \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

and

$$\log \theta \left(x + q\ell + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{x + q\ell} \right) \leq n + qk < \log \theta \left(x + q\ell + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

Combining these inequalities gives

$$\log \theta \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \right) + qk < \log \theta \left(x + q\ell + \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

and

$$\log \theta \left(x + q\ell + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{x + q\ell} \right) < \log \theta \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right) + qk$$

and so

$$\frac{qk}{q\ell + 1 + \frac{1}{x}} < \log \theta < \frac{qk}{q\ell - 1 + \frac{1}{x + q\ell}}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{k}{\ell + \frac{1}{q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)} < \log \theta < \frac{k}{\ell - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x + q\ell} \right)}.$$

This inequality holds for all positive integers q , and so $\log \theta = k/\ell$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. AN ALGORITHM FOR $M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n)$

The second fundamental result of this paper is an algorithm to compute $M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n)$.

Theorem 9. *Let k , ℓ , and n be positive integers. For each $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ there exist unique integers u_r and v_r such that*

$$(8) \quad k + 2\ell r = 2ku_r + v_r$$

and

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq v_r \leq 2k - 1.$$

Define $\chi : \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ as follows: If $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$ for $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, then

$$(10) \quad \chi(n \pmod{k}) = u_r - 1 - \frac{\ell r}{k} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v_r}{2k}.$$

If

$$(11) \quad n > \max \left(\frac{k}{\ell \log 2}, \left(e^{k/\ell} - 1 \right) (2k + 1) \right)$$

then

$$(12) \quad M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n) = \frac{\ell}{k}n + \chi(n \pmod{k}) = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell}{k}n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. We begin with the observation that if v_r and x are integers such that $v_r < 2k < x$, then

$$\frac{v_r}{2k} + \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{2k-1}{2k} + \frac{1}{x} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{x} \right) < 1.$$

Let n satisfy inequality (11). Let $x = M_{e^{k/\ell}}(n)$. Theorem 1 implies that $x > 2k$. If $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$, then there exists $q \in \mathbf{N}_0$ such that $n = kq + r$. Inequality (5) gives

$$\frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \leq kq + r < \frac{k}{\ell} \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \leq \ell q + \frac{\ell r}{k} < x + \frac{3}{2}$$

and so

$$x + 1 - \frac{k + 2\ell r}{2k} - \frac{1}{x} \leq \ell q < x + 2 - \frac{k + 2\ell r}{2k}.$$

From (8) and (9)

$$x - 1 < x - \frac{v_r}{2k} - \frac{1}{x} \leq \ell q + u_r - 1 < x + 1 - \frac{v_r}{2k} \leq x + 1.$$

Because $\ell q + u_r - 1$ is an integer, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \ell q + u_r - 1 \\ &= \ell \left(\frac{n-r}{k} \right) + u_r - 1 \\ &= \frac{\ell}{k} n + u_r - 1 - \frac{\ell r}{k} \\ &= \frac{\ell}{k} n + \frac{k + 2\ell r - v_r}{2k} - 1 - \frac{\ell r}{k} \\ &= \frac{\ell}{k} n - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{v_r}{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $0 \leq v_r/2k < 1$, we have

$$\frac{\ell}{k} n - \frac{1}{2} - 1 < x \leq \frac{\ell}{k} n - \frac{1}{2}$$

and so

$$x = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell}{k} n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We shall apply Theorem 9 to compute $M_{e^{3/7}}(n)$. With $k = 3$ and $\ell = 7$, we have

r	u_r	v_r	$\chi(r \pmod{3})$
0	0	3	-1
1	2	5	-4/3
2	5	1	-2/3

and so

$$\begin{aligned} M_\theta(n) &= \frac{7}{3}n + \chi(n \pmod{3}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{7}{3}n - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \frac{7}{3}n - \frac{4}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ \frac{7}{3}n - \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 7q - 1 & \text{if } n = 3q \\ 7q + 1 & \text{if } n = 3q + 1 \\ 7q + 4 & \text{if } n = 3q + 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

5. PROBLEMS AND REMARKS

- (1) For $\theta > 1$ with $\log \theta$ irrational, find patterns in the sequence $(M_\theta(n))_{n=1}^\infty$. Is it possible to “predict” the value of $M_\theta(n)$? How “pseudo-random” is the deterministic sequence $M_\theta(n)$?
- (2) Describe the set of all sequences of the form $(M_\theta(n))_{n=n_0}^\infty$ for $\theta > 1$.

- (3) Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. The sequence of integers $\mathcal{A} = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is *uniformly distributed modulo m* if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{card}(\{n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : a_n \equiv r \pmod{m}\}) = \frac{1}{m}$$

for all $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. The sequence of integers $\mathcal{A} = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is *uniformly distributed* if $\mathcal{A}$ is *uniformly distributed modulo m* for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Is the integer sequence $([1/\{\theta^{1/n}\}])_{n=1}^\infty$ uniformly distributed for almost all $\theta > 1$?

- (4) Consider the binary sequence $(M_2(n+1) - M_2(n) - 1)_{n=2}^\infty$. How “almost periodic” is this sequence?
- (5) For a fixed positive integer n , investigate $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} M_\theta(n)$.
- (6) The Bernoulli numbers are the coefficients in the Taylor series

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{B_r}{r!} x^r = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} x^{2r}.$$

This series converges for $|x| < 2\pi$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{e^{x/n} - 1} = \frac{n}{x} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \frac{x^{2r-1}}{n^{2r-1}}$$

Writing $\theta = e^x$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\theta^{1/n} - 1} = \frac{n}{\log \theta} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \frac{(\log \theta)^{2r-1}}{n^{2r-1}}.$$

- (7) The *Beatty sequence* associated with the real numbers α and β is the sequence $([n\alpha + \beta])_{n=1}^\infty$. By Theorem 9, if $\theta > 1$ and $\log \theta$ is rational, then the sequence $(M_\theta(n))_{n=1}^\infty$ eventually coincides with the Beatty sequence $([n/\log \theta - 1/2])_{n=1}^\infty$. Is this also true for irrational $\log \theta$, that is, if $\theta > 1$ and $\log \theta$ is irrational, then does $M_\theta(n) = [n/\log \theta - 1/2]$ for all sufficiently large n ? We observe that, if $0 < k_1/\ell_1 < \log \theta < k_2/\ell_2$, then

$$\left\lceil \frac{\ell_2}{k_2} n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\log \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{\ell_1}{k_1} n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$$

and, by Theorem 1,

$$M_{e^{k_2/\ell_2}}(n) \leq M_\theta(n) \leq M_{e^{k_1/\ell_1}}(n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Fix a positive integer n , and let $y = [n/\log \theta - 1/2]$. If $\log \theta$ is irrational, then

$$y < \frac{n}{\log \theta} - \frac{1}{2} < y + 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(y + \frac{1}{2} \right) < \frac{1}{\log \theta} < \frac{1}{n} \left(y + \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

and there exist positive rational numbers k_1/ℓ_1 and k_2/ℓ_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(y + \frac{1}{2} \right) < \frac{\ell_2}{k_2} < \frac{1}{\log \theta} < \frac{\ell_1}{k_1} < \frac{1}{n} \left(y + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

It follows that

$$y = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell_1}{k_1}n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell_2}{k_2}n - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

If $M_{e^{k_1/\ell_1}}(n) = \lfloor \ell_1 n/k_1 - 1/2 \rfloor$ and $M_{e^{k_2/\ell_2}}(n) = \lfloor \ell_2 n/k_2 - 1/2 \rfloor$, then $M_\theta(n) = \lfloor n/\log \theta - 1/2 \rfloor$.

- (8) We could have considered the function $M'_\theta(n) = \lfloor 1/\|\theta^{1/n}\| \rfloor$ instead of $M_\theta(n) = \lfloor 1/\{\theta^{1/n}\} \rfloor$. However, for $\theta > 1$ and $n \geq \log \theta / \log 3/2$, we have $1 < \theta^{1/n} \leq 3/2$ and so $\{\theta^{1/n}\} = \|\theta\| = \theta^{1/n} - 1$. Thus, the functions $M'_\theta(n)$ and $M_\theta(n)$ eventually coincide.

Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and let $\psi = \theta^{-1} > 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{1 - \theta^{1/n}} = \frac{1}{1 - \psi^{-1/n}} = \frac{\psi^{1/n}}{\psi^{1/n} - 1} = \frac{1}{\psi^{1/n} - 1} + 1.$$

If $n \geq -\log \theta / \log 3/2$, then $2/3 \leq \theta^{1/n} < 1$ and $1 < \psi^{1/n} \leq 3/2$, hence $\|\theta^{1/n}\| = 1 - \theta^{1/n}$ and $\|\psi^{1/n}\| = \psi^{1/n} - 1$. It follows that

$$M'_\theta(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{1 - \theta^{1/n}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\psi^{1/n} - 1} \right\rfloor + 1 = M'_\psi(n) + 1$$

and it suffices to consider $M'_\theta(n)$ only for $\theta > 1$. Thus, there is no essential difference between the functions $M_\theta(n)$ and $M'_\theta(n)$.

- (9) If $\mathcal{A} = (\theta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is any sequence of real numbers, then we can examine the arithmetic function

$$M_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\{\theta_n\}} \right\rfloor.$$

If $1 < \theta_n < 2$, then

$$M_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\theta_n - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

Consider, for example, the sequence $\mathcal{A} = (n^{1/n})_{n=1}^\infty$. For every integer $x \geq 2$, what is the smallest integer n such that $M_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = x$?

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Dakota Blair, Dick Bumby, and Kevin O'Bryant for helpful comments and discussions about this paper.

APPENDIX A. ESTIMATES FOR THE EXPONENTIAL AND LOGARITHMIC FUNCTIONS

This section contains the proofs of the estimates for the exponential and logarithmic functions that were used in Sections 2 and 3.

Lemma 2. *For all real numbers $x > 0$ and integers $n \geq 1$,*

$$(13) \quad \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n < \left(1 + \frac{x}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} < e^x$$

For all real numbers x such that $0 < x \leq 1$ and for all integers $n \geq 2$,

$$(14) \quad e^x < \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1} < \left(1 + \frac{x}{n-1}\right)^n.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$e^x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n-1}\right)^n$$

for all real and complex numbers x . For $1 \leq i < k \leq n$, we have

$$0 < \frac{i}{n+1} < \frac{i}{n} < 1$$

and so

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right) < \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right).$$

If $x > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right) \frac{x^k}{k!} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) \frac{x^k}{k!} \\ &< \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) \frac{x^k}{k!} = \left(1 + \frac{x}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$e^x = \sup \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

This proves (13).

If $0 < x \leq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, then $x < n/(n-1)$. Equivalently,

$$1 + \frac{x}{n} < 1 + \frac{nx}{(n-1)(n+x)}.$$

Applying the binomial theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{x}{n} &< 1 + \frac{nx}{(n-1)(n+x)} < \left(1 + \frac{x}{(n-1)(n+x)}\right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{n(n-1+x)}{(n-1)(n+x)}\right)^n = \left(\frac{\frac{n-1+x}{n-1}}{\frac{n+x}{n}}\right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{x}{n-1}}{1 + \frac{x}{n}}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1} < \left(1 + \frac{x}{n-1}\right)^n$$

and

$$e^x = \inf \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{x}{n-1}\right)^n : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

This proves (14). □

Lemma 3. For $x \geq 1$, the function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}$$

is positive and strictly increasing, and

$$(15) \quad x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \leq g(x) < x + \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $y \geq x \geq 1$,

$$(16) \quad y - x - \frac{1}{y} < g(y) - g(x) < y - x + \frac{1}{x}.$$

Proof. For $x > 0$, the function $g(x)$ is positive, and is strictly increasing because

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{x(x+1)\log^2(1+1/x)} > 0.$$

Let $t > -1$, and consider the function

$$h(t) = \frac{4}{2+t} + \log(1+t).$$

Because

$$h'(t) = -\frac{4}{(2+t)^2} + \frac{1}{1+t} = \frac{t^2}{(2+t)^2(1+t)} > 0$$

it follows that $h(t)$ is strictly increasing and so $h(t) > h(0) = 2$ for $t > 0$. Let $x > 0$ and $t = 1/x$. We obtain

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) > 2 - \frac{4x}{2x+1} = \frac{2}{2x+1}$$

and so

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)} < x + \frac{1}{2}.$$

This gives the upper bound for $g(x)$.

Let $0 < t < \delta < 1$. Using the Taylor polynomial of degree 1 for the function $\log(1+t)$, we obtain a real number u satisfying $0 < u < t$ such that

$$\log(1+t) = t - \frac{t^2}{2(1+u)^2} < t - \frac{t^2}{2(1+\delta)^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log(1+t)} &> \frac{1}{t - \frac{t^2}{2(1+\delta)^2}} = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{2(1+\delta)^2}} \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{t} \left(1 + \frac{t}{2(1+\delta)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)^2} \\ &> \frac{1}{t} + \frac{(1-\delta)^2}{2} > \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Because this inequality is true for all $\delta > t$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\log(1+t)} \geq \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} - t.$$

Replacing t with $1/x$ gives the lower bound for $g(x)$. Inequality (16) is an immediate consequence of (15). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baker and J. Coates, *Fractional parts of powers of rationals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 269–279.
- [2] Y. Bugeaud and A. Dubickas, *On a problem of Mahler and Szekeres on approximation by roots of integers*, Michigan Math. J. **56** (2008), no. 3, 703–715.
- [3] A. Dubickas, *On the fractional parts of rational powers*, Int. J. Number Theory **5** (2009), no. 6, 1037–1048.
- [4] L. Flatto, J. C. Lagarias, and A. D. Pollington, *On the range of fractional parts $\{\xi(p/q)^n\}$* , Acta Arith. **70** (1995), no. 2, 125–147.
- [5] L. Habsieger, *Explicit lower bounds for $\|(3/2)^k\|$* , Acta Arith. **106** (2003), no. 3, 299–309.
- [6] J. F. Koksma, *Ein mengentheoretischer Satz über der Gleichverteilung modulo Eins*, Compositio Math. **2** (1935), 250–258.
- [7] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974, reprinted by Dover Publications in 2006.
- [8] K. Mahler and G. Szekeres, *On the approximation of real numbers by roots of integers*, Acta Arith. **12** (1966/1967), 315–320.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *An exponential congruence of Mahler*, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), 55–57.
- [10] S. S. Wagstaff, *Solution of Nathanson's exponential congruence*, Math. Comp. **33** (1979), 1097–1100.
- [11] W. Zudilin, *A new lower bound for $\|(3/2)^k\|$* , J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **19** (2007), no. 1, 311–323.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NY 10468, AND CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, NEW YORK, NY 10016

E-mail address: `melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu`